

What is continuous optimization ?

Oliver Stein

Institute of Operations Research
Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)

March 11, 2016

Finite dimensional continuous optimization problems can be written in the format

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad g_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i \in I, \quad h_j(x) = 0, \quad j \in J$$

with

- continuous decision variables x of dimension $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- an objective function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$,
- inequality constraint functions $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1, i \in I$, with a finite index set I ,
- equality constraint functions $h_j : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1, j \in J$, with a finite index set J .

For applicability of calculus, these functions are often assumed to be at least differentiable or convex.

Below we will extend this format in several ways.

Finite dimensional continuous optimization problems can be written in the format

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad g_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i \in I, \quad h_j(x) = 0, \quad j \in J$$

with

- continuous decision variables x of dimension $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- an objective function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$,
- inequality constraint functions $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1, i \in I$, with a finite index set I ,
- equality constraint functions $h_j : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1, j \in J$, with a finite index set J .

For applicability of calculus, these functions are often assumed to be at least differentiable or convex.

Below we will extend this format in several ways.

Finite dimensional continuous optimization problems can be written in the format

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad g_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i \in I, \quad h_j(x) = 0, \quad j \in J$$

with

- continuous decision variables x of dimension $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- an objective function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$,
- inequality constraint functions $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1, i \in I$, with a finite index set I ,
- equality constraint functions $h_j : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1, j \in J$, with a finite index set J .

For applicability of calculus, these functions are often assumed to be at least differentiable or convex.

Below we will extend this format in several ways.

Finite dimensional continuous optimization

- 'As opposed to discrete optimization, the variables used in the objective function are required to be continuous variables, that is, to be chosen from a set of real values between which there are no gaps. Because of this continuity assumption, continuous optimization allows the use of calculus techniques.' (Wikipedia)
- **Finite dimensional** continuous optimization considers problems with a finite number of continuous decision variables and a finite number of constraints. In contrast, e.g. problems of **optimal control** search for optimal functions.
- The point of view usually is **deterministic**, as opposed to **stochastic optimization**.

Finite dimensional continuous optimization

- 'As opposed to discrete optimization, the variables used in the objective function are required to be continuous variables, that is, to be chosen from a set of real values between which there are no gaps. Because of this continuity assumption, continuous optimization allows the use of calculus techniques.' (Wikipedia)
- Finite dimensional continuous optimization considers problems with a finite number of continuous decision variables and a finite number of constraints. In contrast, e.g. problems of optimal control search for optimal functions.
- The point of view usually is deterministic, as opposed to stochastic optimization.

- 'As opposed to discrete optimization, the variables used in the objective function are required to be continuous variables, that is, to be chosen from a set of real values between which there are no gaps. Because of this continuity assumption, continuous optimization allows the use of calculus techniques.' (Wikipedia)
- **Finite dimensional** continuous optimization considers problems with a finite number of continuous decision variables and a finite number of constraints. In contrast, e.g. problems of **optimal control** search for optimal functions.
- The point of view usually is **deterministic**, as opposed to **stochastic optimization**.

Finite dimensional continuous optimization

- 'As opposed to discrete optimization, the variables used in the objective function are required to be continuous variables, that is, to be chosen from a set of real values between which there are no gaps. Because of this continuity assumption, continuous optimization allows the use of **calculus techniques**.' (Wikipedia)
- **Finite dimensional** continuous optimization considers problems with a finite number of continuous decision variables and a finite number of constraints. In contrast, e.g. problems of **optimal control** search for optimal functions.
- The point of view usually is **deterministic**, as opposed to **stochastic optimization**.

- ‘As opposed to discrete optimization, the variables used in the objective function are required to be continuous variables, that is, to be chosen from a set of real values between which there are no gaps. Because of this continuity assumption, continuous optimization allows the use of calculus techniques.’ (Wikipedia)
- **Finite dimensional** continuous optimization considers problems with a finite number of continuous decision variables and a finite number of constraints. In contrast, e.g. problems of **optimal control** search for optimal functions.
- The point of view usually is **deterministic**, as opposed to **stochastic optimization**.

- ‘As opposed to discrete optimization, the variables used in the objective function are required to be continuous variables, that is, to be chosen from a set of real values between which there are no gaps. Because of this continuity assumption, continuous optimization allows the use of calculus techniques.’ (Wikipedia)
- **Finite dimensional** continuous optimization considers problems with a finite number of continuous decision variables and a finite number of constraints. In contrast, e.g. problems of **optimal control** search for optimal functions.
- The point of view usually is **deterministic**, as opposed to **stochastic optimization**.

Some applications of Continuous Optimization

- Management problems (cost minimal transportation plans, profit maximization),
- Geometrical problems (optimal sensor placement, waste minimization),
- Mechanical problems (truss topology design),
- Chemical problems (protein folding),
- Statistical problems (parameter fitting, data classification).

Finite dimensional continuous optimization problems arise as subproblems ...

- by discretization of the infinite dimensional feasible set in optimal control (thereby giving rise to specially structured, e.g. sparse and/or block-structured, Jacobians and Hessians),
- by discretization of the infinite index set of constraints in semi-infinite optimization,
- by relaxing integrality constraints in integer or mixed-integer optimization problems.

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad g_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i \in I, \quad h_j(x) = 0, \quad j \in J$$

- $|I| = +\infty$: semi-infinite optimization (robust optimization, waste minimization),
- $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$: mixed integer nonlinear optimization (piece good constraints, logic constraints),
- $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ (multi-objective optimization),
- $f \rightarrow f(t, \cdot)$: parametric optimization (sensitivity analysis, homotopy methods),
- Several coupled parametric problems (Nash games).

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad g_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i \in I, \quad h_j(x) = 0, \quad j \in J$$

- $|I| = +\infty$: semi-infinite optimization (robust optimization, waste minimization),
- $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$: mixed integer nonlinear optimization (piece good constraints, logic constraints),
- $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ (multi-objective optimization),
- $f \rightarrow f(t, \cdot)$: parametric optimization (sensitivity analysis, homotopy methods),
- Several coupled parametric problems (Nash games).

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad g_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i \in I, \quad h_j(x) = 0, \quad j \in J$$

- $|I| = +\infty$: semi-infinite optimization (robust optimization, waste minimization),
- $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$: mixed integer nonlinear optimization (piece good constraints, logic constraints),
- $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ (multi-objective optimization),
- $f \rightarrow f(t, \cdot)$: parametric optimization (sensitivity analysis, homotopy methods),
- Several coupled parametric problems (Nash games).

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad g_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i \in I, \quad h_j(x) = 0, \quad j \in J$$

- $|I| = +\infty$: semi-infinite optimization (robust optimization, waste minimization),
- $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$: mixed integer nonlinear optimization (piece good constraints, logic constraints),
- $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ (multi-objective optimization),
- $f \rightarrow f(t, \cdot)$: parametric optimization (sensitivity analysis, homotopy methods),
- Several coupled parametric problems (Nash games).

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad g_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i \in I, \quad h_j(x) = 0, \quad j \in J$$

- $|I| = +\infty$: semi-infinite optimization (robust optimization, waste minimization),
- $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$: mixed integer nonlinear optimization (piece good constraints, logic constraints),
- $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ (multi-objective optimization),
- $f \rightarrow f(t, \cdot)$: parametric optimization (sensitivity analysis, homotopy methods),
- Several coupled parametric problems (Nash games).

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad g_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i \in I, \quad h_j(x) = 0, \quad j \in J$$

- $|I| = +\infty$: semi-infinite optimization (robust optimization, waste minimization),
- $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^m$: mixed integer nonlinear optimization (piece good constraints, logic constraints),
- $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ (multi-objective optimization),
- $f \rightarrow f(t, \cdot)$: parametric optimization (sensitivity analysis, homotopy methods),
- Several coupled parametric problems (Nash games).

- How to quickly identify locally optimal points?
→ interior point, SQP, filter methods
- How to identify globally optimal points (at all)?
→ branch and bound methods
- How to ensure solvability?
→ coercivity checks
- How to do without differentiability or convexity assumptions?
→ nonsmooth optimization
- How to estimate the error upon premature termination of solution methods?
→ error bounds
- How to calculate Nash equilibria?
→ nonsmooth reformulations

- How to quickly identify locally optimal points?
→ interior point, SQP, filter methods
- How to identify globally optimal points (at all)?
→ branch and bound methods
- How to ensure solvability?
→ coercivity checks
- How to do without differentiability or convexity assumptions?
→ nonsmooth optimization
- How to estimate the error upon premature termination of solution methods?
→ error bounds
- How to calculate Nash equilibria?
→ nonsmooth reformulations

- How to quickly identify locally optimal points?
→ interior point, SQP, filter methods
- How to identify globally optimal points (at all)?
→ branch and bound methods
- How to ensure solvability?
→ coercivity checks
- How to do without differentiability or convexity assumptions?
→ nonsmooth optimization
- How to estimate the error upon premature termination of solution methods?
→ error bounds
- How to calculate Nash equilibria?
→ nonsmooth reformulations

- How to quickly identify locally optimal points?
→ interior point, SQP, filter methods
- How to identify globally optimal points (at all)?
→ branch and bound methods
- How to ensure solvability?
→ coercivity checks
- How to do without differentiability or convexity assumptions?
→ nonsmooth optimization
- How to estimate the error upon premature termination of solution methods?
→ error bounds
- How to calculate Nash equilibria?
→ nonsmooth reformulations

- How to quickly identify locally optimal points?
→ interior point, SQP, filter methods
- How to identify globally optimal points (at all)?
→ branch and bound methods
- How to ensure solvability?
→ coercivity checks
- How to do without differentiability or convexity assumptions?
→ nonsmooth optimization
- How to estimate the error upon premature termination of solution methods?
→ error bounds
- How to calculate Nash equilibria?
→ nonsmooth reformulations

- How to quickly identify locally optimal points?
→ interior point, SQP, filter methods
- How to identify globally optimal points (at all)?
→ branch and bound methods
- How to ensure solvability?
→ coercivity checks
- How to do without differentiability or convexity assumptions?
→ nonsmooth optimization
- How to estimate the error upon premature termination of solution methods?
→ error bounds
- How to calculate Nash equilibria?
→ nonsmooth reformulations

In many applications, under weak structural assumptions (i.e. without convexity or differentiability) one needs

- efficient algorithms for the approximation of locally optimal points (with a certificate of optimality – calculus \leftrightarrow heuristics),
- algorithms for the approximation of globally minimal points and Nash equilibria (with a certificate of optimality),
- certificates for solvability,
- computable error bounds.

In many applications, under weak structural assumptions (i.e. without convexity or differentiability) one needs

- efficient algorithms for the approximation of locally optimal points (with a certificate of optimality – calculus \leftrightarrow heuristics),
- algorithms for the approximation of globally minimal points and Nash equilibria (with a certificate of optimality),
- certificates for solvability,
- computable error bounds.

In many applications, under weak structural assumptions (i.e. without convexity or differentiability) one needs

- efficient algorithms for the approximation of locally optimal points (with a certificate of optimality – calculus \leftrightarrow heuristics),
- algorithms for the approximation of globally minimal points and Nash equilibria (with a certificate of optimality),
- certificates for solvability,
- computable error bounds.

In many applications, under weak structural assumptions (i.e. without convexity or differentiability) one needs

- efficient algorithms for the approximation of locally optimal points (with a certificate of optimality – calculus \leftrightarrow heuristics),
- algorithms for the approximation of globally minimal points and Nash equilibria (with a certificate of optimality),
- certificates for solvability,
- computable error bounds.

In many applications, under weak structural assumptions (i.e. without convexity or differentiability) one needs

- efficient algorithms for the approximation of locally optimal points (with a certificate of optimality – calculus \leftrightarrow heuristics),
- algorithms for the approximation of globally minimal points and Nash equilibria (with a certificate of optimality),
- certificates for solvability,
- computable error bounds.

Major steps forward may be expected from, e.g.,

- a much closer cooperation between research in continuous and discrete optimization,
- the design of efficient algorithms for large scale optimization,
- an efficient inclusion of stochastic effects.

Major steps forward may be expected from, e.g.,

- a much closer cooperation between research in continuous and discrete optimization,
- the design of efficient algorithms for large scale optimization,
- an efficient inclusion of stochastic effects.

Major steps forward may be expected from, e.g.,

- a much closer cooperation between research in continuous and discrete optimization,
- the design of efficient algorithms for large scale optimization,
- an efficient inclusion of stochastic effects.

Major steps forward may be expected from, e.g.,

- a much closer cooperation between research in continuous and discrete optimization,
- the design of efficient algorithms for large scale optimization,
- an efficient inclusion of stochastic effects.

Currently (in 2016) we work on ...

- numerical solution of generalized Nash games,
- numerical solution of disjunctive optimization problems,
- coercivity certificates for multivariate polynomials,
- error bounds for mixed integer optimization problems.

Currently (in 2016) we work on ...

- numerical solution of generalized Nash games,
- numerical solution of disjunctive optimization problems,
- coercivity certificates for multivariate polynomials,
- error bounds for mixed integer optimization problems.

Currently (in 2016) we work on ...

- numerical solution of generalized Nash games,
- numerical solution of disjunctive optimization problems,
- coercivity certificates for multivariate polynomials,
- error bounds for mixed integer optimization problems.

Currently (in 2016) we work on ...

- numerical solution of generalized Nash games,
- numerical solution of disjunctive optimization problems,
- coercivity certificates for multivariate polynomials,
- error bounds for mixed integer optimization problems.

Currently (in 2016) we work on ...

- numerical solution of generalized Nash games,
- numerical solution of disjunctive optimization problems,
- coercivity certificates for multivariate polynomials,
- error bounds for mixed integer optimization problems.